1 2 3 **BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING** 4 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 Case No. 2012-359 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 7 JANET ARLINE WATSON 10730 Potranco Road, Ste. 122-144 8 **DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER** San Antonio, TX 78251 9 Registered Nurse License No. 269168 10 Respondent. [Gov. Code, §11520] 11 12 FINDINGS OF FACT 13 1. On or about December 12, 2011, Complainant Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN, in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of 14 15 Consumer Affairs, filed Accusation No. 2012-359 against Janet Arline Watson (Respondent) before the Board of Registered Nursing. (Accusation attached as Exhibit A.) 16 On or about August 31, 1976, the Board of Registered Nursing (Board) issued 17 2. Registered Nurse License No. 269168 to Respondent. The Registered Nurse License was in full 18 19 force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 2012-359 and will 20 expire on February 28, 2014, unless renewed. On or about December 12, 2011, Respondent was served by Certified Mail copies 3. 21 of the Accusation No. 2012-359, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for 22 Discovery, and Discovery Statutes (Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7) 23 at Respondent's address of record which, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 136 24 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1409.1, is required to be reported and 25 maintained with the Board, which was and is: 26 27 10730 Potranco Road, Ste. 122-144 San Antonio, TX 78251. 28

- 4. Service of the Accusation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c) and/or Business & Professions Code section 124.
- 5. On or about December 19, 2011, the domestic return receipt was returned by the U.S. Postal Service indicating a delivery of the aforementioned documents on December 15, 2011.
  - 6. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
  - (c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing.
- 7. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 2012-359.
  - 8. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
  - (a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent.
- 9. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on the relevant evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this matter, as well as taking official notice of all the investigatory reports, exhibits and statements contained therein on file at the Board's offices regarding the allegations contained in Accusation No. 2012-359, finds that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 2012-359, are separately and severally, found to be true and correct by clear and convincing evidence.
- 10. Taking official notice of its own internal records, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3, it is hereby determined that the reasonable costs for Investigation and Enforcement is \$3,120.00 as of March 1, 2012.

 $\parallel 111$ 

1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Janet Arline Watson has subjected her Registered Nurse License No. 269168 to discipline.

2. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.

3. The Board of Registered Nursing is authorized to revoke Respondent's Registered Nurse License based upon the following violations alleged in the Accusation which are supported by the evidence contained in the Default Decision Evidence Packet in this case.

a. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of incompetence as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, in that on or about December 2 and December 3, 2005, while employed as a registered nurse, Respondent failed to conduct proper skin assessment and breakdown prevention for her patient.

#### **ORDER**

IT IS SO ORDERED that Registered Nurse License No. 269168, heretofore issued to Respondent Janet Arline Watson, is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on August 10, 2012

It is so ORDERED July 12, 2012



FOR THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

80613828.DOC
DOJ Matter ID:SD2011801106
Attachment:
Exhibit A: Accusation

.

· 17

Exhibit A

Accusation

1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California LINDA K. SCHNEIDER
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General KAREN L. GORDON
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 137969
	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100
.5	San Diego, CA 92101 P.O. Box 85266
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2073
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 202-359
13	JANET ARLINE WATSON 10730 Potranco Road, Ste. 122-144  A C C U S A T I O N
14	San Antonio, TX 78251-3327
15	Registered Nurse License No. 269168
16	Respondent.
17	
18	Complainant alleges:
19	PARTIES
20	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of
22	Consumer Affairs:
23	2. On or about August 31, 1976, the Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered
24	Nurse License Number 269168 to Janet Arline Watson (Respondent). The Registered Nurse
25	License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
_26_	expire on February 29, 2012, unless renewed
- 27	111
28	111
	1
, []	Accusation

This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board),

8

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

2425

26\_

27 28 4. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code (Code) provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section

Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section

references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license.

6. Section 2811(b) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after expiration.

## STATUTORY PROVISIONS

7. Section 2761 of the Code states:

2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions.

## REGULATORY PROVISIONS

3. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, states:

As used in Section 2761 of the code, "gross negligence" includes an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse. Such an extreme departure means the repeated failure to provide nursing care as required or failure to provide care or to exercise ordinary precaution in a single situation which the nurse knew, or should have known, could have jeopardized the client's health or life.

#### **FACTS**

- 12. In and around November and December of 2005, Respondent worked as a traveling nurse employed by AMN healthcare and contracted to work on the medical-surgical floor at Saddleback Memorial Medical Center (Saddleback).
- 13. On or about November 29, 2005, patient JP, an 80 year old male, was admitted to Saddleback for elective bilateral total knee replacement surgery. He had been having progressive bilateral knee pain that was non-responsive to conservative care.
- 14. JP had a history of hypertension, atrial fibrillation, diabetes controlled by oral agents, and coronary artery disease for which he had bypass surgery, stents, and a pacemaker. JP also had a history of prostate carcinoma and a prostatectomy from which he suffered urinary incontinence.
- 15. On or about November 29, 2005, surgery was performed on JP and he was admitted to the medical-surgical unit. JP was placed in bilateral continuous passive motion (CPM) machines for six hours a day to assist in the mobility of his extremities post operatively. JP also had TED hose and sequential compression devices (SCD). JP had periods of confusion when he refused medications and refused to be repositioned as well as poor nutritional intake.
- 16. On or about December 2, 2005 and December 3, 2005, Respondent provided nursing care to JP.
- 17. Nursing notes from November 29, 2005 to December 3, 2005, indicate that the only pain mentioned is in relationship to the surgical sites on JP's knees.
  - 18. There is no mention of skin problems on December 2, 2005.
- 19. On or about December 3, 2005 at 1020, Respondent noted that JP had Stage I reddened areas across his left buttocks.
- 20. On or about December 3, 2005 at 1430, JP was transferred to the rehabilitation unit.

  Upon JP's arrival to the rehabilitation unit, Registered Nurse KF conducted a skin risk assessment of JP. KF found and documented with photos, eight different Stage I and Stage II pressure ulcers on both of JP's heels, bilateral Achilles tendon areas, left thigh, and bilateral buttocks.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Incompetence)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of incompetence as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, in that on or about December 2 and December 3, 2005, while employed as a registered nurse, Respondent failed to conduct proper skin assessment and breakdown prevention for JP, as is more fully described in paragraphs 9-20, above.

### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 269168, issued to Janet Arline Watson
- Ordering Janet Arline Watson to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;
  - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper,

DATED: December 12, 2011

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN
Executive Officer
Board of Registered Nursing
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

SD2011801106 80572913.doc

б